Gregory Oliver, II vs. City and County of San Francisco, et al.
United States District Court
C 07-02460 JL

EXHIBIT A

PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS TO DEFENDANT CITY (SET ONE)-

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The manner of inspection shall be personal inspection, including the copying of the below-mentioned documents, by plaintiffs and their attorneys of record at the hour of 10:00 a.m. on November 19, 2007 at the offices of plaintiff's attorneys, located at 7677 Oakport Street, Suite 1120, Oakland, CA 94621. You may also comply with this request by furnishing true copies of the documents on or before the above-listed date and time. The requesting party intends to use the copies produced in lieu of the original documents at trial.

It is not plaintiff's intention to request production of privileged matter. If any materials requested are claimed to be privileged, please list the following for each item claimed to be privileged:

- (a) A brief description of the nature and contents of the matter claimed to be privileged;
- (b) The name, occupation, and capacity of the individual from whom the matter emanated;
- (c) The name, occupation and capacity of the individual to whom the allegedly privileged matter was directed;
- (d) The privilege claimed.

The following designated items of discovery and production pertain to the subject dispute between plaintiff and defendants arising out of the arrest and use of force against Plaintiff's on August 20, 2006:

- Any and all document, records, and/or other tangible material identified in Defendants'
 Initial Disclosure statement.
- 2. Any and all tapes of all calls, including all 911 tapes, CAD recordings, radio run tapes, and any other kind of recording, and any materials, print-outs, and documents or the written counterparts of these tapes and materials concerning the August 20, 2006 subject incident, including but not limited to any calls made to or from any precinct house,

26

25

27 28

dispatcher, police officers, or "911," or CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISC	O
communications operator regarding the subject-incident arrest of Plaintiff	

- 3. Any and all records in the possession of Defendant CITY regarding or pertaining to Plaintiff GREGORY OLIVER, III.
- 4. Any and all records in the possession of Defendant CITY regarding or pertaining to each person detained and/or held in the paddy-wagon with Plaintiff after Plaintiff's arrest due to the subject-incident.
- 5. Any and all documents and materials, including Police Academy texts, Patrol Guide sections, SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT manuals, or similar materials, and all memoranda or training materials on the subject of the use of force.
- 6. Any and all documents and materials in the possession of Defendant CITY pertaining to medical treatment, care, and/or evaluation of Plaintiff GREGORY OLIVER, II.
- 7. Any and all SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT reports and/or log entries for August 20, 2006, by all SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT employees who were present at any stage of the instant manner.
- 8. Any and all SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT photographs taken of Plaintiff GREGORY OLIVER, II.
- 9. Any and all statements in the possession of Defendant CITY pertaining to the subject incident or to the use of force against any other person detained in the paddy wagon with Plaintiff GREGORY OLIVER, II.
- 10. Any and all records and/or reports purporting to reflect Plaintiff GREGORY OLIVER, II's level of sobriety before, during, and/or after the subject incident.

24

25

26

27

28

- 11. Any and all documents that comprise or are part of the SAN FRANCISCO POLICE

 DEPARTMENT personnel file, including the disciplinary record, and any other

 documents concerning the hiring, training, duties, performance, assignments, and mental
 and physical condition of Defendant Officer SERNA.
- 12. Any and all documents concerning, or in any way relevant to, any formal complaint made against or about Defendant Officer SERNA within 5 years preceding the subjectincident of August 20, 2006 This includes, but is not limited to:
 - a. Documents concerning all complaints and/or disciplinary or police review of Defendant Officer SERNA by the Internal Affairs Bureau/Division, and/or its CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO counterpart, and/or the Office of Citizen Complaints;
 - The complete file, including all documents and materials, concerning each responsive incident and/or investigation;
- 13. Any and all medical records and materials, relating to any care and treatment of any of the police officers present at any stage of the subject incident for any complaint of injury purportedly related to the subject incident.
- 14. Copy of the complete file of any Internal Affairs and/or Office of Citizen Complaintis investigation into the subject incident of Plaintiff's instant lawsuit.

Dated: October 17, 2007

The Law Offices of John L. Burris

Ben Nisenbaum

Attorney for Plaintiff

1	PROOF OF SERVICE
2	I am a citizen of the United States and am employed in the County of Alameda, State of California. I am over eighteen (18) years of age and not a party to this action. My business address is 7677 Oakport Street, Suite 1120, Oakland, California 94621. On the date below, I served the following documents in the manner indicated on the below named parties and /or
3	
4	
5	PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS TO DEFENDANT CITY (SET ONE)
6	Oliver v. CCSF
7	
8	U.S. Mail, with First Class postage prepaid and deposited in sealed envelopes at Oakland, California.
9	Facsimile Transmission from (510) 839-3882 during normal business hours complete
10	and without error on the date indicated below, as evidenced by the report issued by the transmitting facsimile machine to: (415) 554-3837
11	Hand-Delivery via Courier Other:
12	Parall Elem Day Comment
13	Ronald Flynn, Deputy City Attorney San Francisco City Attorney's Office
14	Fox Plaza
15	1390 Market Street, 6 th Floor San Francisco, CA 94102
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that I am readily familiar with this firm's practice for collection and
22	processing of documents for mailing with the U.S. Postal Service.
23	Dated: Wednesday, October 17, 2007
24	Ben Nisenbaum
25	
26	

28

29